

# Electrical Depth

# Branch Circuit Redesign

Copper vs Aluminum Feeder Study

Energy Efficient Transformer Analysis

Protective Device Coordination



# Branch Circuit Redesign

Below is a redesign of the panelboards, feeders, and circuit breakers that are affected by the new lighting design system. The panelboards are redesigned to accommodate the new lighting loads. The over current protection device is sized according to the new design ampacity as well as the feeder serving the panel.

#### Club Room

The dimming panel below is a Lutron Grafik Eye 4000 system. The feeder for this panel below runs from panel A2LNH I.

PANEL	A2- 🛙	DDC01				
DIMMER	ZONE	ТҮРЕ	CONTROL	LOAD (W)	VOLTAGE (V)	LOCATION
1	A	LVH WW	Dimmed	1275	120	Along North Wall
2	В	CFL DWNLT	Dimmed	1560	120	Throughout Space
3	В	CFL DWNLT	Dimmed	1365	120	Throughout Space
4	С	LVH DWNLT	Dimmed	400	120	Entrance Corridor
5	D	CFL PENDANT	Dimmed	1120	120	Throughout Space
6	E	CFL PENDANT	Dimmed	150	120	Over Bar Counter
7	F	CFL SCONCE	Dimmed	112	120	Along South Wall
8	G	LV ACCENT	Dimmed	120	120	Entrance Corridor
9	Н	LED STRIP	On/Off	100	120	Bar- Back Counter
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
TOTA			AD (w)	6202		
		with grow	th (VV)	7752.50		

*Design Load*: 38A *Circuit Breaker*: 50A *Feeder Si*ze: (3) #8 AWG *Conduit*: 3⁄4" C



### Lobby

Below circuits 6, 8, and 10 were redesigned with the new lighting loads.

		PANE	LBO	D A	R	D	)	s	СНЕ	DULE			
VOLTAGE:	480 Y/277 V 3PF	+ 4W	PANEL TAG: R1LNH1							MIN. C/B AIC: 10K			
	.,			NN-	D1	68							
					10.	CU CU	00				OPTIONS: PROVIDE FEED THROUGH LUGS		
SIZE/TYPE MAIN: 150AV3P C/B			PANEL MU		4G:	зu	лкг	AUE			FOR PANELBOARD 1L1B		
DESCRIPTION	LOCATION	LOAD (WATTS)	C/B SIZE	POS. NO.	А	в	С	POS. NO.	C/B SIZE	LOAD (WATTS)	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION	
Lighting	Gymnasium	2000	20A/1P	1	*			2	20A/1P	1700	Toilet/Lobby	Lighting	
Lighting	Gymnasium	3000	20A/1P	3		*		4	20A/1P	1700	Pool Seating	Lighting	
Lighting	Gymnasium	2000	20A/1P	5			*	6	20A/1P	480	Entrance/Office	Lighting	
Lighting	Gymnasium	3000	20A/1P	7	*			8	20A/1P	2000	Rotunda	Lighting	
Lighting	Gymnasium	2000	20A/1P	9		*		10	20A/1P	2150	Juice Bar Area	Lighting	
Lighting	Gymnasium	2000	20A/1P	11			*	12	20A/1P	2450	Exterior	Lighting	
Lighting	Gymnasium	3000	20A/1P	13	*			14	20A/1P	500	Lobby/Reception	Lighting	
Lighting	Gymnasium	2000	20A/1P	15		*		16	20A/1P	2000	Juice Bar	Lighting	
Lighting	Gymnasium	3000	20A/1P	17			*	18	20A/1P	1000	Corridor	Lighting	
Lighting	Gymnasium	2000	20A/1P	19	*			20	20A/1P	2100	Corridor/Lobby	Lighting	
Lighting	Gymnasium	2000	20A/1P	21		*		22	20A/1P	1000	Corridor	Lighting	
Lighting	Gymnasium	3000	20A/1P	23			*	24	20A/1P	1400	Rotunda Cove	Lighting	
Lighting	Gymnasium	2000	20A/1P	25	*			26	20A/1P	0	Spare	Lighting	
Lighting	Gymnasium	3000	20A/1P	27		*		28	20A/1P	0	Spare	Lighting	
Lighting	Gymnasium	2000	20A/1P	29			*	30	20A/1P	0	Spare	Lighting	
Lighting	Fitness 3	2500	20A/1P	31	*			32	20A/1P	0	Spare	Lighting	
Lighting	Fitness 4	3000	20A/1P	33		*		34	20A/1P	0	Spare	Lighting	
Lighting	Gymnasium	600	20A/1P	35			*	36	20A/1P	0	Spare	Lighting	
Lighting	Exterior	3000	20A/1P	37	*			38	20A/1P	0	Spare	Lighting	
Lighting	Spare	0	20A/1P	39		*		40	20A/1P	0	Spare	Lighting	
Lighting	Spare	0	20A/1P	41			*	42	20A/1P	0	Spare	Lighting	
CONNECTED LOAD (KW) - A 23.80									TOTAL DESIGN	I LOAD (KW)	95.37		
CONNECTED LOAD	) (KW) - B	21.85								POWER FACTOR		1.00	
CONNECTED LOAD	) (KW) - C	17.93								TOTAL DESIGN	LOAD (AMPS)	115	

Sizes are based on Design Load and are determined by referencing the NEC Handbook

Design Load: 115A Circuit Breaker: 125A Feeder Size: (3) #1 AWG THHW Conduit: 1- 1/4" C Note: Although a smaller feeder size could have been used, 1 chose to size up for safety.



### Gymnasium

Below circuits 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, and 20 were redesigned with the new lighting loads.

	PANELBOARD SCHEDULE											
VOLTAGE: 480Y/277V,3PH,4W SIZE/TYPE BUS: 150A SIZE/TYPE MAIN: 150A/3P C/B			PANEL TAG: R3LNH1 PANEL LOCATION: R311 PANEL MOUNTING: SURFACE							MIN. C/B AIC: 10K OPTIONS: PROVIDE FEED THROUGH LUGS FOR PANELBOARD 1L1B		
DESCRIPTION	LOCATION	LOAD (WATTS)	C/B SIZE	POS. NO.	А	В	С	POS. NO.	C/B SIZE	LOAD (WATTS)	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION
Lighting	Corridor	1100	20A/1P	1	*			2	20A/1P	3500	Upper Gym	Lighting
Lighting	Rotunda	800	20A/1P	3		*		4	20A/1P	3500	Upper Gym	Lighting
Lighting	Corridor	300	20A/1P	5			*	6	20A/1P	3500	Upper Gym	Lighting
Lighting	Corridor	300	20A/1P	7	*			8	20A/1P	3500	Upper Gym	Lighting
Lighting	Bathrooms	1100	20A/1P	9		*		10	20A/1P	3500	Upper Gym	Lighting
Lighting	Corridor	1200	20A/1P	11			*	12	20A/1P	3500	Upper Gym	Lighting
Lighting	Rotunda	600	20A/1P	13	*			14	20A/1P	2250	Above Running Track	Lighting
Lighting	Rotunda	1100	20A/1P	15		*		16	20A/1P	2250	Above Running Track	Lighting
Lighting	Rotunda	800	20A/1P	17			*	18	20A/1P	2250	Below Track	Lighting
Lighting	Upper Gym court 3	2000	20A/1P	19	*			20	20A/1P	2250	Below Track	Lighting
Lighting	Fitness Ctr	1200	20A/1P	21		*		22	20A/1P	0	0	Lighting
Lighting	Rotunda	1600	20A/1P	23			*	24	20A/1P	0	0	Lighting
Lighting	Rotunda	800	20A/1P	25	*			26	20A/1P	0	0	Lighting
Lighting	Fitness Ctr	1200	20A/1P	27		*		28	20A/1P	0		Lighting
Lighting	Roof	800	20A/1P	29			*	30	20A/1P	0		Lighting
Lighting	Climbing Wall	1200	20A/1P	31	*			32	20A/1P	0		Lighting
Lighting	Upper Gym	0	20A/1P	33		*		34	20A/1P	0		Lighting
Lighting	Upper Gym	0	20A/1P	35			*	36	20A/1P	0		Lighting
Lighting		0	20A/1P	37	*			38	20A/1P	0		Lighting
Lighting		0	20A/1P	39		*		40	20A/1P	0		Lighting
Lighting		0	20A/1P	41			*	42	20A/1P	0		Lighting
CONNECTED LOA	D (KW) - A	17.50								TOTAL DESIGN LOAD (KW)		69.15
CONNECTED LOA	D (KW) - B	14.65								POWER FACTOR		1.00
CONNECTED LOA	D (KW) - C	13.95								TOTAL DESIGN	I LOAD (AMPS)	83

Sizes are based on Design Load and are determined by referencing the NEC Handbook

Design Load: 83A Circuit Breaker: 90A Feeder Size: (3) #3 AWG THHW Conduit: I - V4" C Note: Although a smaller feeder size could have been used, I chose to size up for safety.



### Exterior

Below circuit 2 was redesigned with the new lighting loads for Layout 1.

		PANE	LBO	AF	२।	D	s	CHE	DULE		
VOLTAGE: SIZE/TYPE BUS: SIZE/TYPE MAIN:		PANEL TAG: RL1LNH1 PANEL LOCATION: RB116A PANEL MOUNTING: SURFACE						MIN. C/B AIC: 10K OPTIONS: PROVIDE FEED THROUGH LUGS FOR PANELBOARD 1L1B			
DESCRIPTION	LOCATION	LOAD (WATTS)	C/B SIZE	POS NO.	А	вС	POS NO.	C/B SIZE	LOAD (WATTS)	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION
Lighting	Corridor	1100	20A/1P	1	*		2	20A/1P	2695	Exterior Site	Lighting
Lighting	Classroom	2500	20A/1P	3		*	4	20A/1P	900	Classroom	Lighting
Lighting	Fitness Center	1100	20A/1P	5		*	6	20A/1P	2000	Fitness Center	Lighting
Lighting	Passage	1500	20A/1P	7	*		8	20A/1P	900	Corridor	Lighting
Lighting	Rotunda	2000	20A/1P	9		*	10	20A/1P	1500	Rotunda	Lighting
Lighting	Lobby	1700	20A/1P	11		*	12	20A/1P	600	Public Lobby	Lighting
Lighting	Corridor	1000	20A/1P	13	*		14	20A/1P	1000	Corridor	Lighting
Lighting	Rotunda Cove	2900	20A/1P	15		*	16	20A/1P	2400	Fitness Center	Lighting
Lighting	Rotunda	1700	20A/1P	17		*	18	20A/1P	2400	Classroom	Lighting
Lighting	Classroom	1300	20A/1P	19	*		20	20A/1P	800	Corridor	Lighting
Lighting	Corridor	600	20A/1P	21		*	22	20A/1P	2000	Corridor	Lighting
Lighting	Offices	1100	20A/1P	23		*	24	20A/1P	1200	Offices	Lighting
Lighting	Fitness Center	2100	20A/1P	25	*		26	20A/1P	1900	Men Faculty Locker	Lighting
Lighting	Rotunda	2000	20A/1P	27		*	28	20A/1P	2600	Men's Locker room	Lighting
Lighting	Women's Locker	2600	20A/1P	29		*	30	20A/1P	2900	Corridor	Lighting
Lighting	Women Faculty Locker	1900	20A/1P	31	*		32	20A/1P	0		Lighting
Lighting	Exterior Site	1000	20A/1P	33		*	34	20A/1P	0		Lighting
Lighting	Exterior Parking	3000	20A/1P	35		*	36	20A/1P	0		Lighting
Lighting	Exterior Site	700	20A/1P	37	*		38	20A/1P	0		Lighting
Lighting	Corridor	1100	20A/1P	39		*	40	20A/1P	0		Lighting
Lighting Corridor 2200			20A/1P	41		*	42	20A/1P	0		Lighting
CONNECTED LOAD (KW) - A 16.90								TOTAL DESIGN LOAD (KW)		67.19	
CONNECTED LOAI	D (KW) - B	21.50							POWER FACTOR		1.00
CONNECTED LOAI	D (KW) - C	22.50							TOTAL DESIGN	LOAD (AMPS)	81

Sizes are based on Design Load and are determined by referencing the NEC Handbook

Design Load: 8 | A Circuit Breaker: 90A Feeder Size: (3) #3 AWG THHW Conduit: 1 - 1/4" C Note: Although a smaller feeder size could have been used, I chose to size up for safety.



### Exterior Continued

Below circuit 2 was redesigned with the new lighting loads for Layout 2.

	PANELBOARD SCHEDULE											
VOLTAGE: 480Y/277V,3PH,4W SIZE/TYPE BUS: 150A SIZE/TYPE MAIN: 150A/3P C/B			PANEL TAG: RL1LNH1 PANEL LOCATION: RB116A PANEL MOUNTING: SURFACE						MIN. C/B AIC: 10K OPTIONS: PROVIDE FEED THROUGH LUGS FOR PANELBOARD 1L1B			
DESCRIPTION	LOCATION	LOAD (WATTS)	C/B SIZE	POS NO.	AE	зс	POS NO.	C/B SIZE	LOAD (WATTS)	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION	
Lighting	Corridor	1100	20A/1P	1	*		2	20A/1P	1386	Exterior Site	Lighting	
Lighting	Classroom	2500	20A/1P	3	•	*	4	20A/1P	900	Classroom	Lighting	
Lighting	Fitness Center	1100	20A/1P	5		*	6	20A/1P	2000	Fitness Center	Lighting	
Lighting	Passage	1500	20A/1P	7	*		8	20A/1P	900	Corridor	Lighting	
Lighting	Rotunda	2000	20A/1P	9	•	*	10	20A/1P	1500	Rotunda	Lighting	
Lighting	Lobby	1700	20A/1P	11		*	12	20A/1P	600	Public Lobby	Lighting	
Lighting	Corridor	1000	20A/1P	13	*		14	20A/1P	1000	Corridor	Lighting	
Lighting	Rotunda Cove	2900	20A/1P	15		*	16	20A/1P	2400	Fitness Center	Lighting	
Lighting	Rotunda	1700	20A/1P	17		*	18	20A/1P	2400	Classroom	Lighting	
Lighting	Classroom	1300	20A/1P	19	*		20	20A/1P	800	Corridor	Lighting	
Lighting	Corridor	600	20A/1P	21	•	*	22	20A/1P	2000	Corridor	Lighting	
Lighting	Offices	1100	20A/1P	23		*	24	20A/1P	1200	Offices	Lighting	
Lighting	Fitness Center	2100	20A/1P	25	*		26	20A/1P	1900	Men Faculty Locker	Lighting	
Lighting	Rotunda	2000	20A/1P	27	•	*	28	20A/1P	2600	Men's Locker room	Lighting	
Lighting	Women's Locker	2600	20A/1P	29		*	30	20A/1P	2900	Corridor	Lighting	
Lighting	Women Faculty Locker	1900	20A/1P	31	*		32	20A/1P	0		Lighting	
Lighting	Exterior Site	1000	20A/1P	33		*	34	20A/1P	0		Lighting	
Lighting	Exterior Parking	3000	20A/1P	35		*	36	20A/1P	0		Lighting	
Lighting	Exterior Site	700	20A/1P	37	*		38	20A/1P	0		Lighting	
Lighting	Corridor	1100	20A/1P	39		*	40	20A/1P	0		Lighting	
Lighting Corridor 2200			20A/1P	41		*	42	20A/1P	0		Lighting	
CONNECTED LOAD (KW) - A 15.55		15.59							TOTAL DESIGN	I LOAD (KW)	65.23	
CONNECTED LOAI	D (KW) - B	21.50							POWER FACTOR		1.00	
CONNECTED LOAD	D (KW) - C	22.50							TOTAL DESIGN	LOAD (AMPS)	78	

Sizes are based on Design Load and are determined by referencing the NEC Handbook

Design Load: 78A Circuit Breaker: 80A Feeder Size: (3) #4 AWG THHW Conduit: | " C Note: Although a smaller feeder size could have been used, I chose to size up for safety.



# Copper vs Aluminum Conductor Study

The debate between copper and aluminum conductors has been a constant struggle. The following study looks at the disadvantages and advantages of each type of metal wiring. In order to conduct the analysis, 25 different existing copper feeders found throughout Boston University's Fitness and Recreation Center's electrical distribution system were selected. The aluminum wire sizes were determined by the overcurrent protection device from the original feeder, which then determined the new conduit size.

EDR		Length	Copper	Aluminum	Conner	Conner	Aluminum	Δluminum	Copper	Aluminum
ID	OCPD	(ff)	Phase	Phase	Cost/L.E	Cost	Cost/L.F.	Cost	Conduit	Conduit
		(14)	Wires	Wires	000012.1	0000	00002.11.	000	Size	Size
A4	30	80	(3) 10	(3) 8	4.7	376	3.95	316.00	1/2	3/4
A5	30	92	(3) 10	(3) 8	4.7	432.4	4.20	386.40	1/2	3/4
B4	40	56	(3) 8	(3) 6	6.7	375.2	4.20	235.20	3/4	1
C4	50	5	(3) 6	(3) 4	5.1	25.5	4.80	24.00	1	1
D4	60	10	(3) 4	(3) 4	6.35	63.5	4.80	48.00	1	1
E4	70	12	(3) 4	(3) 2	6.35	76.2	5.30	63.60	1	1-1/4
F4	90	6	(3) 2	(3) 1	8.15	48.9	5.95	35.70	1-1/4	1-1/4
G4	100	200	(3) 2	(3) 1 / 0	8.15	1630	7.25	1450.00	1-1/4	1-1/2
H4	125	168	(3) 1 / 0	(3) 2 / 0	12.25	2058	7.55	1268.40	1-1/2	1-1/2
J4	150	125	(3) 2 / 0	(3) 4 / 0	14.5	1812.5	9.95	1243.75	1-1/2	2
K4	175	178	(3)3/0	(3) 4 / 0	16.75	2981.5	9.95	1771.10	2	2
L4	200	5	(3) 4 / 0	(3) 300	19	95	12.75	63.75	2	2-1/2
M4	225	10	(3) 250	(3) 350	21.5	215	13.90	139.00	2-1/2	2-1/2
N4	250	300	(3) 250	(3) 400	21.5	6450	15.30	4590.00	2-1/2	3
P4	300	250	(3) 350	(3) 500	28	7000	16.70	4175.00	2-1/2	3
Q4	350	90	(3) 500	(6) 4 / 0	35.25	3172.5	19.90	1791.00	3	3
R4	400	10	(6)3/0	(6) 300	33.5	335	25.50	255.00	2-1/2	3-1/2
S4	500	30	(6) 250	(6) 400	43	1290	30.60	918.00	3	4
T4	600	150	(6) 350	(6) 500	56	8400	33.40	5010.00	3-1/2	4
U4	700	6	(6) 500	(9) 350	70.5	423	41.70	250.20	4	6
\\/4	800	6	(9) 300	(9) 500	74.25	445.5	50.10	300.60	4	6
VV4	1000	6	(9) 400	(12) 400	94.85	569.1	61.20	367.20	6	6
X4	1200	6	(12) 350	(12) 500	112	672	66.80	400.80	6	6
Y4	1500	6	(12) 500	(15) 500	141	846	83.50	501.00	6	6+
Z4	1600	6	(15) 400	(18) 500	158	948	100.20	601.20	6	6+
					TOTAL	40,740.80		26,204.90		

 Table 1: Price Comparison Copper vs Aluminum Conductors

\*The unit costs per linear foot were taken from CostWorks. The pricing is based on 3 Copper conductors in a PVC jacket or 3 Aluminum conductors in a PVC jacket. In the event there were more than one set of 3 conductors the unit cost was multiplied by the number of sets.



Table 2: Copper Characteristics

Copper	Higher electrical conductivity than aluminum, therefore smaller wire sizes for
	the same ampacity
	According to IEEE Standard 835-1994, copper's ampacity is 1.6 times that
	of aluminum
	Harder and stronger material which can stand much more abuse over time
	Because of the smaller wire sizing; more flexible to install and less effort
	Can withstand tighter twists, harder pulls, and more bends at junction and
	termination boxes without stretching or breaking
	Higher resistance to corrosion
	Forgiving metal to join electrically
	Better connections than aluminum
	Less bulky which means easier to transport to site and easier to install

#### Table 3: Aluminum Characteristics

Aluminum	Softer material, lower modulus of elasticity										
	Need more critical installation procedures in order to secure ba										
	connections										
	Theirs is always an insulating oxide present										
	The thermal expansion coefficient is much larger than copper, which causes										
	loose connections when the wire expands and contracts										
	Aluminum alloys are more active metals which make them more susceptible to										
	corrode around moisture which causes a shorter life span										

From the Table I above, aluminum wires are much cheaper than that of copper. For this particular set of feeders, aluminum is 36% cheaper than copper which could save the project a considerable amount of money. Although this seems like it could be very beneficial for the owner, there are many issues associated with aluminum wiring. It is recommended by the NEC that aluminum wires should not be used for distribution systems and only used for utility service feeders or where the design ampacity does not fluctuate. The utility service generally has a constant feed, unlike distribution feeders where the current running through them can have a large range.

Copper and aluminum metal have several differences that create advantages and disadvantages when wiring a building's distribution system. In order to allow the same ampacity, the aluminum wire would need to be sized larger than the copper wire. This could create a problem within the building construction process; ceiling plenum space is limited as it is. Although initial costs of aluminum are much less than copper the life cycle cost of copper is much less. Aluminum is much more difficult to install and is susceptible to damage and failure which requires re-installation of the wire. Overall, I would recommend using copper wire for building distribution systems.



# Energy Efficient Transformer Analysis

Transformers tend to have low efficiencies generating electrical losses. Powersmiths manufactures energy efficient transformers which will be compared to status quo transformers in the report below. Each Recreation Center transformer will be replaced with an energy efficient transformer manufacturered by Powersmiths in order to calculate the energy savings as well as pay back period.

#### Transformer Takeoff

Transfor	Transformers on		Available Full Load kW	5035		
Project		Total	Average kVA (calc)			
0.17	μ\/A		equipment operating hrs/ day	18		
Q I I	RVA	IL VV	equipment operating days/yr	300	Calc Load kW	Calc Annual kWh
1	30	30	Load during normal operating hours	50%	2518	13,594,500
4	45	180	Load outside operating hours	10%	504	1,691,760
2	112.5	225		Tota	nl Annual Load kWh:	15,286,260
1	150	150				
2	225	450	Annual Cost to Operate Load On	У		
2	220	4000	kWh rate	\$ 0.029	Annual Consumption:	\$ 440,703
2	2000	4000	demand rate (\$/kW/mo)_ex, \$10.00	\$12.00	Annual Demand:	\$ 362,520
		5035		T	otal Cost to run load	\$ 803,223

Annual Cost of Status Quo Transformer Lo	sses & Associated A	ir Conditioning (A/C) burd	len
Nameplate Linear efficiency (normal op hrs)	98.0%	% electronics or current THD	30.0%
Calculated operating efficiency	97.0%		
Transformer kW Losses (Normal Operation)	78.4	kW	
Status quo Efficiency (Outside op. hrs)	91.0%		
Transformer kW Losses (Outside op. hrs)	49.8	kW	
Annual addititional kWh from transformers	590,656	kWh	
Annual Cost of Transformer Losses	\$ 28,318		
A/C System Performance (kW/ton)	1.75		
Additional Tons of Cooling (on peak)	22.27	tons	
Annual addititional kWh from A/C	293,650	kWh	
Annual Cost of Associated A/C	\$ 14,078		
Summary with Status Quo Transformer			
Annual Oracle of face times Decisions Local	r 000.000		

Sumary with Status Que Hunsteiner	
Annual Cost of feeding Building Load	\$ 803,223
Annual Cost of Transformer Losses	\$ 28,318
Annual Cost of Associated A/C	\$ 14,078
Electrical Bill (Status Quo Transformer)	\$ 845,619

TOTEL Electric Bill for Current Transformers: \$845,619



Annual Cost of POWERSMITH's Transform	er, Los	sses & Associa	ted Air Conditioning (A/C	) burden
Powersmiths Efficiency (Normal Operation)		98.2%		
Powersmiths kW Losses (Normal Operation)		0.0	kW	
Powersmiths Efficiency (Outside op. hrs)		97.6%		
Transformer kW Losses (Outside op. hrs)		0.0	kW	
Annual addititional kWh from transformers		290,787	kWh	
Annual Cost of Powersmiths Losses	\$	15,028		
Additional Tons of Cooling (on peak)		13.11	tons	
Annual addititional kWh from A/C		144,567	kWh	
Annual Cost of Associated A/C	\$	7,471		
Summary with Status Quo Transformer				
Annual Cost of feeding Building Load	\$	803,223		
Annual Cost of Transformer Losses	\$	15,028		

7,471

825,722

\$ TOTEL Electric Bill for Powersmith Transformers: \$825,722

\$

Comparing Status Quo & Powersmiths			
	Status Quo	Powersmiths	
Annual Cost of feeding Building Load	\$ 803,223	\$ 803,223	
Annual Cost of Transformer Losses	\$ 28,318	\$ 15,028	
Annual Cost of Associated A/C	\$ 14,078	\$ 7,471	Reduction
Annual estimated Electrical Bill	\$ 845,619	\$ 825,723	2%
Peak kW REDUCTION (normal op hours)	32.3	kW	
Annual kWh REDUCTION	448,951	kWh	
<b>REDUCTION</b> in Air Conditioning Load (on peak)	9.16	tons	
Cost Analysis			
Energy Cost Escalation (above inflation)	3.0%		
	Annual	Life Cycle Operating Cost & Savings	
	Operating Cost	20 years	32 years
Status Quo Transformers	\$42,396	\$1,531,438	\$3,493,543
Powersmiths Transformers	\$22,500	\$812,744	\$1,854,047
Savings with Powersmiths	\$19,896	\$718,694	\$1,639,496
Unit Costs Total		]	
Powersmiths Transformers	\$494,000		
Status Quo Transformers	\$203,225	]	
Payback Period On Total Costs	14.61	years	current kWh rate:
Cost of Energy Savings	\$ 0.020	/kWh	\$0.029
Cost - Benefit Ratio	1.4 times less to save a kWh than to buy a kWh		

After thoroughly looking at the transformer analysis, I would recommend the high initial costs for Powersmiths transformers, which will ultimately save the owner money in the long run. Although fifteen years seems like a rather long payback period, the transformers will be worth the energy savings for the building as a whole.

Annual Cost of Associated A/C

Electrical Bill (Status Quo Transformer)



# Protective Device Coordination

The chart below shows an overcurrent protection coordination study.

#### AB DE-ION Circuit Breakers

#### Types FD and HFD 150 Amperes



uit Beackier Transformativem solaren. In G<sup>or</sup> Frierne Gircuit Beachiers Urren DR. (2010) dei HEO (const Deuten, den Pole genation andrianstellunter programs and c mi HEC and belander. The solaren statisment berriet with the character statisment of another between performant ador Te man AD Velta: 277 at 5058 Hz wom Tip. Argums See Carro Ang COLCSA Liand 0 8 Single pole test date at 200 toward on MDMLP seadows (AB 3 total by walking party tracks of this and specification balance).

The Red lines represent 20A а circuit breaker from a lighting load. The Black lines with blue highlights represent a 150A circuit breaker for a panelboard. The two devices are coordinated correctly as this table shows.

Curve No. SC-4438-88A